# "How are hotspots identified at the railway, and how should the IM cope with the variable levels of risks?"

UIC, Paris, 25.03.2019

Tore Mo (M.Sc.), HSE,

Department of Industrial Economics and Technology Management (IØT), NTNU

# Master thesis 2018, HSE, NTNU & Bane NOR

#### Title:

# «Unwanted occurrences at the Norwegian

railway where third persons are hit by Train».

Excludes fatalaties due to voltage and working accidents

# <u>Approx. 3/4 of fatalaties are suicides</u>

- Third persons represent more than 90% of the remaining
  - Figure 8: Relative share of fatalities per victim category among all fatalities with (right) and without (left) suicides, EU 28, 2012-2016



#### Trend: 1-fatality-accidents constitute for a greater share of the total fatalities



# Norway amongst the European countries with fewest fatalities at the railway

**Figure 4**: Number of significant accidents, total fatalities (including unauthorised persons, but excluding suicides) and total seriously injured (including unauthorised persons, but excluding attempted suicides) on railways, by country in SERA, 2016



#### Historical overview: (Sources; SSB, NSB, JBV, ERAIL)

Fatalities at the norwegian railway, 1879-2017



### Trend; increased no. of suicides

FATALITIES AT THE NORWEGIAN RAILWAY, 2007-2017



#### Are all attempted suicides registered?

No. of registered suicides and attempted at the Norwegian railway 1996-2015 (Source: JBV (IM))



### Who collects data on suicides?

(DNV GL 2015; Assessment of the Impact of Rail Suicides on EU Railways:

https://www.era.europa.eu/library/studies\_en



Figure 1 – A Count of the Responses to the Identity of Those Organisations Collecting Data on Suicide on Railway Premises

In Norway, the IM use the conclusions the police determinate regarding if the death is a suicide or not.

The probability of suicide can be a lot more than 50% without being categorized as suicide.

RESTRAIL; Identification of hotspots: <u>http://restrail.eu/toolbox/s</u> <u>pip.php?article80</u>

# Black-spot analysis; suicides (2007-2016)



# Black-spot analysis; trespasses (fatalaties)



#### Large stretches without fatalities (2007-2016)

The picture is taken at Finse (1222 m. above sea level)

Photo: SNL; <a href="https://snl.no/Bergensbanen">https://snl.no/Bergensbanen</a>



#### Consentration around Oslo (Suicides)



### Consentration around Oslo (Trespasses)



Togmengde (person- og godstog) - antall tog per døgn (sum begge retninger) / Number of trains per day (passenger and freight trains, sum both directions)



# Suicides and trespasses seems to correlate with traffic and demographics

# (Figure: JBV, 2016)

### Distrubution at LCs, stations or open line

### DISTRIBUTION OF DEATHS AT THE NORWEGIAN RAILWAY 2007-2016



Different distribution of suicides and other fatalaties



# Conclusions from black-spot-analysis:

- Nearly 60% of the fatalities in the period 2007-2016 took place at stretches constituting less than 5% of the total railway length.
- Only suicides at the express line Oslo Oslo Airport
- There were 7 stations with more than 1 fatality in the same period:

Station:	Suicides	Accidental deaths	Comments:
Lillestrøm	5	0	Airport express train
Asker	4	0	2 in adjacent tunnells
Nationaltheatret (Oslo)	2	0	1 of 2 railway stations in Norway below surface
Kløfta	2	0	Trains passing at high speed
Blommenholm	2	0	Smaller station at lower-speed line
Vevelstad	2	0	Smaller station at lower-speed line
Brakerøya	0	2	Industrial surroundings, problem area

# Risk analysis for suicides?



DNV report 2002-0130:(Passing trains at speed above 130 km/h)Risk for fatality at Lillestrøm station:Once every 1000 year



Result 2007-2016: 5 suicides at station

# How to avoid these incidents?

Selected measures from the RESTRAIL Toolbox: (According to Haddon)

- FFCCTV
- Lighting
- Strive for good visibility
- Detection
- Direct help line
- Record and learn from incidents (database)
- FENCES!! (Both at stations and outside them)



### Source: ProRail / Photo © Erik van 't Woud

Demand in Norway: chainlink fences (Source; Herasnorge.no)



## Fencing in Norway

- Not mandatory along the railway
- Fences installed based on risk analysis
  + the ALARP-principle

#### Fences are due to:

- Wild animals
- Livestock
- Snow
- Real estate
- Persons
- Voltage



#### How to decide how to secure; what is a proper fence?

Google

E 7

6.4





Fences can be way easier to pass in winter time in Norway

Google

23

Bildet er tatt: mar. 2010 © 2019 Go



#### Somewhere between Oslo and Oslo Airport

Coogle

53





#### Snow clearance may induce risks elsewhere

Google

F 7

6.4

Bildet er tatt: mar. 2010 © 2019

# Oslo, 2009

- 33-year- old man enter the railway at the site in the picture. Survives, with serious injuries.
- The Norwegian state pays 10 billion NOK in compensation

Photo: VG, 26.02.19, private





 15-year old pupil dies after passing the fence from the neighboring youth club to the left in the picture. The fence is only about 1 m of height

#### Photo: Aftenposten, 01.03.19; Morten Uglum

 The fence is elevated after the fatality. The new fence is both higher (meets demand of 1,8 m) and more difficult to climb.

# Fencing off objects

#### **RESTRAIL:**

http://restrail.eu/toolbox/spip.php?article110

Source: ProRail / Photo © Erik van 't Woud



### Enebekk LC



#### Landscaping (Incl. designing and placement of term obstacles)

RESTRAIL; http://restrail.eu/toolbox/spip.php?r ubrique68

Brakerøya station

(2 accidental fatalities 2007-2016)

Screenshot; Bane NOR (Målevognsbilde)



#### From the IMs <u>technical</u> <u>legislation:</u> <u>No demands of</u> <u>sight length when</u> <u>the LC is secured.</u>

<u>Source;</u> <u>https://trv.banenor.no/</u> <u>w/images/a/a2/JD550</u> <u>09\_fig003.png</u>



## Trapneset LC (Collision train/car 10.06.2015)

The car driver died, the LC was closed afterwards.

Sight? <u>No demands</u> of sight length when the LC is secured.

Source; report 08/2016, JBV (IM)

